Structured learning for sequence labeling Part 3: Conditional Random Fields

> Hakan Erdogan Sabanci University

August 2-5, 2010 Enterface'10 workshop, Amsterdam

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

<ロト </2> </2> </2> </2>

Outline



2 Conditional random fields

3 Training CRFs

Generative vs Discriminative - HMM vs MEMM I

- Generative models (e.g. FLD) and logistic regression are generative-discriminative pairs
- MEMM is an attempt to get a discriminative version of HMM
- Depends on writing the conditional likelihood as

$$p(y_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{1:T}) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} p(y_t|y_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_t)$$

- This may not be a good assumption
- This turns out not to be a good way to obtain a discriminative model from HMM

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ●□ ● ●

• Leads to a problem called "label bias"

Generative vs Discriminative - HMM vs MEMM II

- Solution: Directly model $p(y_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{1:T})$ (conditional random fields)
 - without assuming probabilistic dependencies among y_t , y_{t-1} and \mathbf{x}_t
 - Directly use a log-linear model
 - But use only local features in the log-linear model that depend on y_t and y_{t-1} only! (to enable dynamic programming)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三目 - のへ⊙

Graphical models I

HMM graphical model:



イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Graphical models II

MEMM graphical model:



イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Graphical models III

CRF graphical model:



イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Remembering problem setup I

- Given a sequence of features $\mathbf{x}_{1:T}$, find appropriate labels $y_{1:T}$ where each $y_t \in \mathcal{Y}$, we can assume wlog that $\mathcal{Y} = [M]$, a finite set
- This is a hard problem and the number of possible $y_{1:T}$ is too high, namely M^T and T is changeable
- We may need additional assumptions on output labels y_t, such as being Markov

<ロト </2> </2> </2> </2>





2 Conditional random fields

3 Training CRFs

Conditional Random Fields I

In CRF, we model the conditional probability of labels wrt observations as follows:

$$p(y_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{1:T}) = \frac{1}{Z(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, \mathbf{w})} \exp\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{N_f} w_j F_j(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, y_{1:T})\right\}$$

Key thing is to assume that the global feature functions $F_j(y_{1:T}, \mathbf{x}_{1:T})$ should be able to be written as a sum of local features

$$F_j(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, y_{1:T}) = \sum_{t=1}^T f_j(y_{t-1}, y_t, \mathbf{x}_{1:T}, t)$$

- This assumption is necessary to be able to use dynamic programming algorithms in calculations
- Each local feature may depend on all $\mathbf{x}_{1:T}$ since they are given to us

Conditional Random Fields II

- This assumption yields a Markovian label sequence
- Local feature functions can specialize in depending on any combination of their inputs, they do not have to depend on all of their arguments
- For example, a transition feature will depend only on y_t and y_{t-1}

<ロ>

Problems of interest

To be able to solve inference problems in CRFs, we need to be able to compute the most likely label sequence:

$$y_{1:T}^* = \arg \max_{y_{1:T}'} p(y_{1:T}'|\mathbf{x}_{1:T}; \mathbf{w})$$

and for the learning problem, we need to calculate the partition function

$$Z(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{y'_{1:T}} \exp\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{N_f} w_j F_j(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, y'_{1:T})\right\}$$

Note that direct calculation of these two quantities is highly expensive due to exponential amount of all possible $y_{1:T}$ that is needed to be considered

Finding the most likely labeling - Viterbi algorithm I

It is easy to show that:

$$y_{1:T}^* = \arg \max_{y_{1:T}'} \sum_j w_j F_j(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, y_{1:T}')$$

and after expanding the features

$$y_{1:T}^* = \arg \max_{y'_{1:T}} \sum_j w_j \sum_{t=1}^T f_j(y'_{t-1}, y'_t, \mathbf{x}_{1:T}, t)$$

Let $g_t(y_{t-1}, y_t) = \sum_j w_j f_j(y_{t-1}, y_t, \mathbf{x}_{1:T}, t)$ to simplify notation. Define partial maximums:

$$V(y,t) = \max_{y'_{1:t-1}} \left(\sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} g_{\tau}(y'_{\tau-1},y'_{\tau}) + g_t(y'_{t-1},y) \right)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Finding the most likely labeling - Viterbi algorithm II

• Clearly, this leads to a recursion:

$$V(y,t)=\max_{y'}ig(V(y',t-1)+g_t(y',y)ig)$$

- Similar to HMMs, we need to hold a backpointer to the maximizer label (state) after each time step
- We can view this procedure in a trellis
- In the end we can trace back from $V(y_T^*, T)$ to obtain the most likely label sequence $y_{1:T}^*$.

イロト (過) (ヨ) (ヨ) (ヨ) ()

Forward-backward algorithm for CRFs I

Remember that:

$$Z(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{y'_{1:T}} \exp\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{N_f} w_j F_j(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, y'_{1:T})\right\}$$

- We need to sum over exponentially many sequence labelings which is impractical
- Similar to forward-backward algorithm in HMMs, we can perform a dynamic programming algorithm like that to compute Z

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト 三日

Forward-backward algorithm for CRFs II

• We need to use the local features summed over time to do that

$$Z(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{y_{1:T}'} \exp\left\{\sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{N_f} w_j f_j(y_{\tau-1}', y_{\tau}', \mathbf{x}_{1:T}, \tau)\right\}$$

$$Z(\mathbf{x}_{1:\mathcal{T}},\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{y_{1:\mathcal{T}}'}\prod_{ au=1}^{\mathcal{T}}G_{ au}(y_{ au-1}',y_{ au}')$$

where we define $G_t(y_1, y_2) = \exp g_t(y_1, y_2)$, and $g_t(y_1, y_2) = \sum_j w_j f_j(y_1, y_2, \mathbf{x}_{1:T}, t)$ as defined earlier

• and define partial sums up to time t

$$\alpha(y,t) = \sum_{y'_{1:t-1}} \left(\prod_{\tau=1}^{t-1} G_{\tau}(y'_{\tau-1},y'_{\tau}) G_{t}(y'_{t-1},y) \right)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ●□ ● ●

Forward-backward algorithm for CRFs III

• We can update $\alpha(y, t)$ by the following forward recursion

$$\alpha(y,t) = \sum_{y'} \alpha(y',t-1) G_t(y',y)$$

• Similarly we define backward partial sums

$$\beta(y,t) = \sum_{y'_{t+1:T}} \left(G_{t+1}(y,y'_{t+1}) \prod_{\tau=t+1}^{T} G_{\tau+1}(y'_{\tau},y'_{\tau+1}) \right)$$

• which can be updated with the backward recursion

$$eta(y,t) = \sum_{y'}eta(y',t+1)G_{t+1}(y,y')$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三目 - のへ⊙

Forward-backward algorithm for CRFs IV

Clearly

$$Z(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{y'} lpha(y', T) = \sum_{y'} eta(y', 1)$$

• Note that if we use start and stop labels/states, we do not need the sums above and we get

$$Z(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, \mathbf{w}) = \alpha(\text{stop}, T+1) = \beta(\text{start}, 0)$$

• Besides, we can calculate the following marginal posterior probabilities

$$p(y_t | \mathbf{x}_{1:T}) = \frac{\alpha(y_t, t)\beta(y_t, t)}{Z(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, \mathbf{w})}$$
$$p(y_{t-1}, y_t | \mathbf{x}_{1:T}) = \frac{\alpha(y_{t-1}, t-1)G_t(y_{t-1}, y_t)\beta(y_t, t)}{Z(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, \mathbf{w})}$$

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト 三日

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

3

Outline



2 Conditional random fields



CRF Training I

- We have seen that when $(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, y_{1:T})$ were given, ML training for HMMs turned into simple counting
- For CRFs, even in that scenario, training is not that simple
- Consider conditional log-likelihood (CLL) for a single training sequence

$$\log p(y_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{1:T};\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, y_{1:T}) - \log Z(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, \mathbf{w})$$

where **F** denotes the vector of all N_f features

For multiple training sequences, we need to sum the individual CLL's up

CRF Training II

Gradient of the CLL for a single sequence is

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_{1:\mathcal{T}}, y_{1:\mathcal{T}}) - \sum_{y'_{1:\mathcal{T}}} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_{1:\mathcal{T}}, y'_{1:\mathcal{T}}) p(y'_{1:\mathcal{T}} | \mathbf{x}_{1:\mathcal{T}})$$

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_{1:\mathcal{T}}, y_{1:\mathcal{T}}) - E_{y_{1:\mathcal{T}}' \sim \mathcal{P}(y_{1:\mathcal{T}}' | \mathbf{X}_{1:\mathcal{T}})} \left[\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_{1:\mathcal{T}}, y_{1:\mathcal{T}}') \right]$$

(ロ) (個) (E) (E) (E)

• When we obtain the maximizing **w**, the gradient must be zero which corresponds to making the training data feature values to be the same as the expected values under the trained model

CRF Training III

• The expectation of a feature can be computed using the forward and backward variables as follows:

$$\begin{split} & E_{y_{1:T}^{\prime} \sim \rho(y_{1:T}^{\prime} | \mathbf{x}_{1:T})} \left[F_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}, y_{1:T}^{\prime}) \right] \\ &= E_{y_{1:T}^{\prime} \sim \rho(y_{1:T}^{\prime} | \mathbf{x}_{1:T})} \left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} f_{j}(y_{t-1}^{\prime}, y_{t}^{\prime}, \mathbf{x}_{1:T}, t) \right] \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{T} E_{y_{t-1}^{\prime}, y_{t}^{\prime}} [f_{j}(y_{t-1}^{\prime}, y_{t}^{\prime}, \mathbf{x}_{1:T}, t)] \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{y_{1}, y_{2}} \alpha(t-1, y_{1}) f_{j}(y_{1}, y_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{1:T}, t) G_{t}(y_{1}, y_{2}) \beta(t, y_{2}) \end{split}$$

where $G_t(y_1, y_2) = \exp\{\sum_{j'} w_{j'} f_{j'}(y_1, y_2, \mathbf{x}_{1:T}, t)\}$

CRF Training IV

- The exact calculation of the expected value can be somewhat computationally complex
- It is possible to approximate the gradient calculation by
 - Considering only the best competitor's feature function instead of considering the average over all (expected value)
 - Performing Gibbs sampling to evaluate the expected value
- Regularized empirical risk (conditional log-likelihood plus a regularizing penalty term) is optimized in the case of CRFs as well
- Dropping dependence on 1 : T, given training data (x^i, y^i) for $i \in [N]$ where each (x^i, y^i) is a training sequence, we get the following RER function to minimize

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{F}(x^{i}, y^{i}) + \log Z(x^{i}, \mathbf{w}) \right) + \Omega(\mathbf{w})$$

CRF Training V

• and each entry of the gradient vector is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-F_j(x^i, y^i) + \sum_{y'} p(y'|x^i; \mathbf{w}) F_j(x^i, y') \right) + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial w_j}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Optimization methods

- Almost all methods require computation of the gradient whose exact computation requires forward-backward iterations, but this can be approximated through methods discussed above
 - Iterative scaling (old one, slow)
 - 2 Conjugate gradient method
 - I-BFGS (a Quasi Newton method)
 - Stochastic gradient method: update parameters by moving in the direction of the gradient of one sequence at a time (easy and fast converging)

イロト 不聞 と 不良 と 不良 とう 見

Stochastic Gradient Updates

• Stochastic gradient update for a single weight w_j is as follows:

$$w_j := w_j + k \left(F_j(x^i, y^i) - \sum_{y'} p(y'|x^i, \mathbf{w}) F_j(x^i, y') - \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial w_j} \right)$$

where k is a variable learning rate parameter (step size in the gradient direction)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

• Usually k is chosen to decrease inversely proportional to the iteration number

For more information

- I have used the following papers/documents for this talk, it is beneficial to explore them all
- Papers and technical reports [Lafferty et al., 2001, Sutton and McCallum, 2006, Elkan, 2008, Gupta, 2005, Memisevic, 2006]
- See video lecture by Prof. Charles Elkan on videolectures.net

<ロ>

Max-margin training of CRFs I

- Also known as Structural SVM or max-margin Markov networks
- First proposed in [Altun et al., 2003, Taskar et al., 2003]
- $\bullet\,$ We can formulate max-margin problems for estimating feature weights ${\bf w}$
- Problems can be formulated using the RER framework
- Enables using label-losses in margin rescaling or slack rescaling form
- [Tsochantaridis et al., 2005] proposes a cutting plane algorithm to solve the max-margin problem
 - Avoids forward backward
 - Only Viterbi algorithm needed to find the most offending label sequence
 - Multiple SVM problems need to be solved each time adding a new constraint
 - A recent single-slack formulation [Joachims et al., 2009] is even faster

Max-margin training of CRFs II

- [Taskar et al., 2003] proposes elegant reformulation of the problem as small linear programming problems, but may not scale too well to larger number of examples
- SVM-softmax approximation in the max-margin problem would give similar form as CRF training (and would require to compute the marginal probabilities) but enables using label-loss functions which was not possible in CRFs

• Need more detailed discussion

Toolkits

- Hidden markov models
 - HTK by Cambridge (Young et.al.)
 - Sphinx, Julius
 - Matlab statistics toolbox implements discrete HMMs
- Condition random fields
 - CRF++ (for NL problems)
 - CRFSGD
 - Mallet
- Max-margin structured learning
 - Svm-struct (includes SVM for sequence labeling) by Joachims

(ロ) (個) (E) (E) (E)

THANK YOU!

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶ - 国 - のへで

THANK YOU! Questions?

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 差 = のへで

References I

- Y Altun, I Tsochantaridis, and T Hoffman. Hidden Markov support vector machines. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2003.
- Charles Elkan. Log-linear models and conditional random fields, notes for a tutorial at CIKM'08, 2008.
- R Gupta. Conditional random fields. Technical report, IIT Bombay, 2005.
- T Joachims, T Finley, and C N J Yu. Cutting-plane training of structural SVMs. *Machine Learning*, 77(1):27–59, October 2009.
- J Lafferty, A McCallum, and F Pereira. Conditional random fields: probabilistic models for segmenting and labeling sequence data. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2001.
- R Memisevic. An introduction to structured discriminative learning. Technical report, University of Toronto, 2006.

- C Sutton and A McCallum. An Introduction to Conditional Random Fields for Relational Learning. In Lise Getoor and Ben Taskar, editors, *Introduction to statistical relational learning*. MIT Press, 2006.
- Ben Taskar, Carlos Guestrin, and Daphne Koller. Max-margin Markov Networks. In *NIPS*, 2003.
- I Tsochantaridis, T Joachims, T Hoffman, and Y Altun. Large margin methods for structured and interdependent output variables. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 6:1453–84, December 2005.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ●□ ● ●